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#### Abstract

The second smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix of a graph $G$ is called the algebraic connectivity and denoted by $a(G)$. We prove that $$
a(G)>\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\left(p \frac{12 \bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{4 \bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{4}}+4(q-p) \frac{3 \bar{g}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{\bar{g}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{4}}\right),
$$


holds for every non-trivial graph $G$ which contains edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots$, $G_{q}$ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq p, a\left(G_{i}\right) \geq a\left(P_{n_{i}}\right)$, with $n_{i} \geq 2$, and, for $p+1 \leq i \leq q, a\left(G_{i}\right) \geq a\left(C_{n_{i}}\right)$, where $P_{n_{i}}$ and $C_{n_{i}}$ denote the path and the cycle of the corresponding order, respectively, and $\bar{g}$ denotes the geometric mean of given arguments. Among certain consequences, we emphasize the following lower bound

$$
a(G)>\pi^{2} \frac{12(4 q-3 p) n^{2}-(16 q-15 p) \pi^{2}}{12 n^{4}}
$$

referring to $G$ which has $n(n \geq 2)$ vertices and contains $p$ Hamiltonian paths and $q-p$ Hamiltonian cycles, such that all of them are edge-disjoint. We also discuss the quality of the obtained lower bounds.
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## 1. Introduction

The Laplacian of a graph $G$ is the positive semidefinite matrix $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)$, where $D(G)$ is the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees and $A(G)$ is the standard adjacency matrix. Among all eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a graph, one of the most popular is the second smallest called, by Fiedler [5], the algebraic connectivity of a graph. The algebraic connectivity is usually denoted by $a(G)$. Its significance is due to the fact that it measures (to a certain extent) how well a graph is connected. For example, a graph $G$ is connected if and only if $a(G)>0$.

The number of vertices (also known as the order) and the number of edges of a graph $G$ are denoted by $n$ and $m$ (or $m(G)$ ), respectively. We also use $d$ for the diameter of a graph. A path and a cycle of order $n$ are denoted by $P_{n}$ and $C_{n}$, respectively. A graph is Hamiltonian if it contains a spanning subgraph which is a cycle, while every such cycle is referred to as a Hamiltonian cycle. Similarly, every spanning path is referred to as a Hamiltonian path.

There is a significantly large number of bounds for the algebraic connectivity expressed in terms of other graph invariants. One of them is a classical result of Mohar [8] stating that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(G) \geq \frac{4}{d n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as said above, $d$ is the diameter of $G$. Some others can be found in $[1,4,10]$. In this study we obtain a lower bound for $a(G)$ which relies on the assumption that $G$ contains edgedisjoint spanning subgraphs such that the algebraic connectivity of each of them is not less than the algebraic connectivity of either a fixed path or a fixed cycle. This result yields the lower bound for $a(G)$ expressed in terms of orders of the longest paths or cycles contained in the corresponding spanning subgraphs. In particular, we establish a lower bound when $G$ contains the set of edgedisjoint Hamiltonian paths and cycles.

Our contribution is reported in the forthcoming sections. Precisely, theoretical results are given in Section 2, a concluding discussion is given in Section 3, while in the Appendix we observe the existence of an upper bound for the algebraic connectivity (which is implicitly proved in [2]).

## 2. Results

We use the following lemma referred to Fiedler.
Lemma 2.1. [5] Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{k}$ be edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs of a non-trivial signed graph $G$ such that $m(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} m\left(G_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
a(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} a\left(G_{i}\right)
$$

We also use the following limit point without reference:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}^{x}}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{x}}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for positive $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}$.
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Theorem 2.1. Assume that a graph $G$ with $n(n \geq 2)$ vertices contains edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{q}$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq p$ it holds $a\left(G_{i}\right) \geq a\left(P_{n_{i}}\right)$ with $n_{i} \geq 2$ and for $p+1 \leq i \leq q$ it holds $a\left(G_{i}\right) \geq a\left(C_{n_{i}}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(G)>\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\left(p \frac{12 \bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{4 \bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{4}}+4(q-p) \frac{3 \bar{g}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{\bar{g}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{4}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{g}$ denotes the geometric mean of given arguments.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $a(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{q} a\left(G_{i}\right)$, i.e., $a(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{p} a\left(P_{n_{i}}\right)+\sum_{i=p+1}^{q} a\left(C_{n_{i}}\right)$. It holds $a\left(P_{n_{i}}\right)=2\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{n_{i}}\right)\right)$ and $a\left(C_{n_{i}}\right)=2\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{n_{i}}\right)\right)$; see, for example, [1].

Using the Taylor series, we get

$$
a\left(P_{n_{i}}\right)>2\left(1-1+\frac{\pi^{2}}{2 n_{i}^{2}}-\frac{\pi^{4}}{24 n_{i}^{4}}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{12 n_{i}^{4}}\left(12 n_{i}^{2}-\pi^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
a\left(C_{n_{i}}\right)>2\left(1-1+\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{2 n_{i}^{2}}-\frac{16 \pi^{4}}{24 n_{i}^{4}}\right)=\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{3 n_{i}^{4}}\left(3 n_{i}^{2}-\pi^{2}\right)
$$

that gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(G)>\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{12 n_{i}^{2}-\pi^{2}}{n_{i}^{4}}+4 \sum_{i=p+1}^{q} \frac{3 n_{i}^{2}-\pi^{2}}{n_{i}^{4}}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the first sum of (4). For $\alpha \geq 2$, we define the function

$$
f_{\alpha}(x)=\frac{12 x^{\alpha}-\pi^{2}}{x^{2 \alpha}}
$$

It holds $f_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{2 a}{x^{2(\alpha+1)}}\left(6(\alpha+1) x^{\alpha}-\pi^{2}(2 \alpha+1)\right)$, and so, for $x \geq 2, f_{\alpha}$ is convex. Using the Jensen's inequality, we get

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{12 n_{i}^{2}-\pi^{2}}{n_{i}^{4}} \geq p f_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_{i}^{2 / \alpha}}{p}\right)=p \frac{12\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_{i}^{2 / \alpha}}{p}\right)^{\alpha}-\pi^{2}}{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_{i}^{2 / \alpha}}{p}\right)^{2 \alpha}}
$$

If $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, by (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{12 n_{i}^{2}-\pi^{2}}{n_{i}^{4}} \geq p \frac{12 \bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{\bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{4}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second sum of (4) is considered in a similar way. For $\alpha \geq 3$, we define the function

$$
h_{\alpha}(x)=\frac{3 x^{\alpha}-\pi^{2}}{x^{2 \alpha}}
$$

which is convex for $x \geq 3$ (as $h_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{a}{x^{2(\alpha+1)}}\left(3(\alpha+1) x^{\alpha}-2 \pi^{2}(2 \alpha+1)\right)$ ). This leads to

$$
\sum_{i=p+1}^{q} \frac{3 n_{i}^{2}-\pi^{2}}{n_{i}^{4}} \geq(q-p) h_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=p+1}^{q} n_{i}^{2 / \alpha}}{q-p}\right)=(q-p) \frac{3\left(\frac{\sum_{i=p+1}^{q} n_{i}^{2 / \alpha}}{(q-p)}\right)^{\alpha}-\pi^{2}}{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=p+1}^{q} n_{i}^{2 / \alpha}}{q-p}\right)^{2 \alpha}}
$$

Letting $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=p+1}^{q} \frac{3 n_{i}^{2}-\pi^{2}}{n_{i}^{4}} \geq(q-p) \frac{3 \bar{g}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{\bar{g}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{4}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (4), in conjunction with (5) and (6), gives (3).
Here are some consequences.
Corollary 2.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(G)>\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\left(p \frac{12 \bar{a}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{4 \bar{a}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{4}}+4(q-p) \frac{3 \bar{a}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{\bar{a}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{4}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{a}$ denotes the arithmetic mean of given arguments.
Proof. The function $\frac{12 x^{2}-\pi^{2}}{4 x^{2}}$ decreases for $x \geq 2$, and so

$$
\frac{12 \bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{4 \bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{4}} \geq \frac{12 \bar{a}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{4 \bar{a}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{p}\right)^{4}}
$$

Similarly, as $\frac{3 x^{2}-\pi^{2}}{x^{2}}$ decreases for $x \geq 3$, we have

$$
\frac{3 \bar{g}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{\bar{g}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{4}} \geq \frac{3 \bar{a}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{\bar{a}\left(n_{p+1}, n_{p+2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{4}}
$$

and the proof follows.
Corollary 2.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(G)>q \pi^{2} \frac{12 \bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{12 \bar{g}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{4}} \geq q \pi^{2} \frac{12 \bar{a}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{12 \bar{a}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{q}\right)^{4}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{a}$ denote the geometric mean and the arithmetic mean of given arguments, respectively.
Proof. In the notation of Theorem 2.1, since $a\left(C_{n_{i}}\right)>a\left(P_{n_{i}}\right)$, we have $a\left(G_{i}\right) \geq a\left(P_{n_{i}}\right)$, for $1 \leq i \leq q$. The first inequality follows by setting $p=q$ in (3), and then the second follows by the previous corollary.

We proceed with the following particular result.

Theorem 2.2. If a non-trivial graph $G$ contains $p$ Hamiltonian paths and $q-p$ Hamiltonian cycles, such that all of them are edge disjoint, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(G)>\pi^{2} \frac{12(4 q-3 p) n^{2}-(16 q-15 p) \pi^{2}}{12 n^{4}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Obviously, $G$ contains edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{q}$ such that the first $p$ of them contain a Hamiltonian path and the remaining ones contain a Hamiltonian cycle. By Lemma 2.1, the algebraic connectivity of $G_{i}$ is at least the algebraic connectivity of its spanning subgraph, i.e., all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied (with $n_{i}=n$, for $1 \leq i \leq q$ ). By (3), we compute

$$
a(G)>\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\left(p \frac{12 n^{2}-\pi^{2}}{4 n^{4}}+4(q-p) \frac{3 n^{2}-\pi^{2}}{n^{4}}\right)
$$

giving the desired inequality.
Since, for a connected graph $G$, we have $a(G) \geq 2 \epsilon\left(1-\cos \frac{\pi}{n}\right)$ (see [5]), where $\epsilon$ denotes the edge connectivity of $G$, it follows that Theorem 2.1 can be applied to any connected non-trivial graph with itself in the role of the unique spanning subgraph. Here is another criterion concerning graphs with small diameter.
Theorem 2.3. If a connected graph $G$ with $n(n \geq 2)$ vertices and diameter d contains a path $P_{k}$ (resp. a cycle $C_{k}$ ) such that $4 k^{2} \geq d n \pi^{2}$ (resp. $k^{2} \geq d n \pi^{2}$ ), then $a(G)>a\left(P_{k}\right)($ resp. $a(G)>$ $a\left(C_{k}\right)$ ).
Proof. We use the inequality (1). Considering the existence of a path $P_{k}$, we get

$$
a(G) \geq \frac{4}{d n} \geq \frac{4}{\frac{4 k^{2}}{\pi^{2}}}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{k^{2}}=2\left(1-1+\frac{\pi^{2}}{2 k^{2}}\right)>2\left(1-\cos \frac{\pi}{k}\right)
$$

The existence of a cycle satisfying the assumption of the theorem is considered in the same way.

## 3. Remarks

The bound (3) and its consequences (7)-(9) are always non-trivial, in the sense that they are never negative. An easy consequence of (9) is the following lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(G)>4 q \pi^{2} \frac{3 n^{2}-\pi^{2}}{3 n^{4}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ stands for the number of edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles. In general, the bound (10) is incomparable with (1), but it gives a better estimate whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \geq \frac{3 n^{3}}{d \pi^{2}\left(n^{2}-\pi^{2}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, this occurs for every Hamiltonian graph with $d \geq \frac{3 n^{3}}{\pi^{2}\left(3 n^{2}-\pi^{2}\right)}$, as then the right hand side of (11) is at most 1 ; this lower bound for $d$ is asymptotically $n / \pi^{2}$.

Example 1. Consider the graph $G$ obtained by inserting an edge between every pair of vertices at distance 2 of a cycle $C_{2 k+1}$, for $k \geq 2$. Obviously, $G$ has exactly 2 edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles, and thus due to $(10)$ we have $a(G)>8 \pi^{2} \frac{3(2 k+1)^{2}-\pi^{2}}{3(2 k+1)^{4}}$. Say, for $k=4$, we get $2.12 \approx$ $a(G)>0.94$.

As the right hand side of (10) increases with the number of edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles, it would be natural to consider it in conjunction with a lower bound for the number of such cycles. In this context, we recall that Nash-Williams proved that the assumptions of the well-known Dirac's theorem guarantee the existence of many edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles. Precisely, every graph with $n$ vertices and minimum vertex degree at least $n / 2$ contains at least $\lfloor 5 n / 224\rfloor$ edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles [9]. It is conjectured in the same reference that every $r$-regular graph with at most $2 r$ vertices contains $r / 2$ Hamiltonian cycles. This conjecture is still open; an approximate version stating that every $r$-regular graph with $n(14 \leq n \leq 2 r+1)$ vertices contains $\lfloor(3 r-n+$ 1)/6」 edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles is proved by Jackson [7]. For some asymptotic results, we refer to Christofides, Kühn and Osthus [3]. Particular constructions of arbitrarily large graphs with a specified number of Hamiltonian cycles can be found in Haythorpe's [6].
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## Appendix

We recall an interesting upper bound, obtained by Bollobás and Nikiforov [2], for the sum of the $k-1$ least eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix. Namely, if $N_{1} \sqcup N_{2} \cdots \sqcup N_{k}$ is a partition of a Hermitian matrix $M=\left(m_{i j}\right)$ with eigenvalues $\nu_{1} \geq \nu_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \nu_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p=n-k+2}^{n} \nu_{p} \leq \sum_{p=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\left|N_{p}\right|} \sum_{(i, j): i, j \in N_{p}} m_{i j}-\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{sum}(M), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{sum}(M)$ denotes the sum of the entries of $M$.
By considering the Laplacian matrix of a graph in the role of $M$ and inserting $k=3$ in (12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(G) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{3} \frac{c\left(N_{p}\right)}{\left|N_{p}\right|} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, clearly $N_{1} \sqcup N_{2} \sqcup N_{3}$ is a vertex set partition, while $c\left(N_{p}\right)$ denotes the cut of $N_{p}$, i.e., the number of edges with exactly one end in $N_{p}$. Indeed, if $L=\left(l_{i j}\right)$ is the Laplacian matrix, then $\sum_{(i, j): i, j \in N_{p}} l_{i j}=c\left(N_{p}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sum}(L)=0$, so we get (13). This upper bound can be used to estimate the algebraic connectivity of graphs with given tripartition of a vertex set. For example, if $G$ contains at least two cut-edges, then we have

$$
a(G) \leq \frac{1}{\left|N_{1}\right|}+\frac{2}{\left|N_{2}\right|}+\frac{1}{\left|N_{3}\right|},
$$

where cut-edges are located between $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, and $N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$.

