

# Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications

# A remark on star- $C_4$ and wheel- $C_4$ Ramsey numbers

Yanbo Zhang<sup>a,b</sup>, Hajo Broersma<sup>b</sup>, Yaojun Chen<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P.R. China <sup>b</sup>Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands

ybzhang@163.com, h.j.broersma@utwente.nl, yaojunc@nju.edu.cn

### **Abstract**

Given two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , the Ramsey number  $R(G_1,G_2)$  is the smallest integer N such that, for any graph G of order N, either  $G_1$  is a subgraph of G, or  $G_2$  is a subgraph of the complement of G. Let  $C_n$  denote a cycle of order n,  $W_n$  a wheel of order n+1 and  $S_n$  a star of order n. In this paper, it is shown that  $R(W_n, C_4) = R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  for  $n \ge 6$ . Based on this result and Parsons' results on  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$ , we establish the best possible general upper bound for  $R(W_n, C_4)$  and determine some exact values for  $R(W_n, C_4)$ .

*Keywords:* Ramsey number; star; wheel; quadrilateral Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C55, 05D10

#### 1. Introduction

In this note we deal with finite simple graphs only. For a nonempty proper subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , let G[S] and G-S denote the subgraph induced by S and V(G)-S, respectively. Let  $N_S(v)$  be the set of all the neighbors of a vertex v that are contained in S, let  $N_S[v] = N_S(v) \cup \{v\}$  and let  $d_S(v) = |N_S(v)|$ . If S = V(G), we write  $N(v) = N_G(v)$ ,  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$  and  $d(v) = d_G(v)$ . For two vertex-disjoint graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ ,  $G_1 + G_2$  is the graph obtained from  $G_1 \cup G_2$  by joining every vertex of  $G_1$  to every vertex of  $G_2$ . A star, a cycle and a complete graph of order n are denoted by  $S_n$ ,  $C_n$  and  $K_n$ , respectively. A wheel  $W_n = K_1 + C_n$  is a graph of order n + 1. We use

Received: 01 May 2014, Revised: 01 September 2014, Accepted: 07 September 2014.

 $\Delta(G)$ ,  $\delta(G)$  and  $\alpha(G)$  to denote the maximum degree, the minimum degree and the independence number, respectively, of a graph G.

Given two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , the Ramsey number  $R(G_1,G_2)$  is the smallest integer N such that, for any graph G of order N, either G contains  $G_1$  or  $\overline{G}$  contains  $G_2$ , where  $\overline{G}$  is the complement of G. It is well-known that it is difficult to deal with some extremal problems involving  $C_4$ . In this note, we are interested in the relationship between two Ramsey numbers involving  $C_4$ , that is,  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  and  $R(W_n, C_4)$ . The former has been well-studied and the latter has received more attention recently.

Parsons [6] began to consider the Ramsey numbers  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  back in 1975. By using the existence of projective planes over Galois fields and the generalized friendship theorem, in [6] he established upper bounds for  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  and determined the exact values for several specific values of n, as expressed in the following two results.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Parsons [6]).  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4) \le n + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor + 2$  for all  $n \ge 2$ , and if  $n = q^2 + 1$  and  $q \ge 1$ , then  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4) \le n + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor + 1$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** (Parsons [6]). If q is a prime power, then  $R(S_{q^2+1}, C_4) = q^2 + q + 1$  and  $R(S_{q^2+2}, C_4) = q^2 + q + 2$ .

Noting that if  $n=q^2$ , then  $n+\lfloor \sqrt{n-1}\rfloor+2=q^2+q+1$ , we see that the general bound for  $R(S_{n+1},C_4)$  in Theorem 1.1 is best possible.

Obviously,  $S_{n+1}$  is a (spanning) subgraph of  $W_n$  and so  $R(W_n, C_4) \geq R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$ . By using an exhaustive computer search, Tse [10] was able to calculate the value of  $R(W_n, C_4)$  for  $3 \leq n \leq 12$ . An interesting question in this respect is: what is the best possible upper bound for  $R(W_n, C_4)$ ? Surahmat et al. [9] showed that  $R(W_n, C_4) \leq n + \lceil n/3 \rceil + 1$  for  $n \geq 6$ . Clearly, this upper bound is not tight in general. Because  $R(W_n, C_4) \geq R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  showing that the best bound for  $R(W_n, C_4)$  is at least  $n + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor + 2$ , one may ask whether  $R(W_n, C_4) - R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  is a constant or a function depending on n. Recently, by using Reiman's theorem [8] on the Turán number  $t(n, C_4)$ , Ore's theorem [5] on Hamiltonicity, a result of Faudree and Schelp [3] on  $R(C_n, C_4)$  and the Erdős-Rényi graph, Dybizbański and Dzido [2] established a general upper bound for  $R(W_n, C_4)$  for  $n \geq 10$  and determined some exact values of  $R(W_n, C_4)$ . We summarized some of their results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** (Dybizbański and Dzido [2]).  $R(W_n, C_4) \le n + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor + 2$  for all  $n \ge 10$ , and if  $q \ge 4$  is a prime power, then  $R(W_{q^2}, C_4) = q^2 + q + 1$ .

In the same paper, with the help of computers, they determined the exact values of some Ramsey numbers for a small wheel versus a  $C_4$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** (Dybizbański and Dzido [2]).  $R(W_n, C_4) = n + 5$  for  $13 \le n \le 16$ .

Clearly, Theorem 1.3 implies that Parsons' bound for  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  is also a best possible upper bound for  $R(W_n, C_4)$  if  $n \ge 10$ . In an unpublished paper, Wu et al. [11] obtained nine new values for  $R(W_n, C_4)$ ; as in the other cases their calculations have been performed with the aid of computer search.

**Theorem 1.5.** (Wu et al. [11])  $R(W_n, C_4) = n + 5$  for  $17 \le n \le 20$ ;  $R(W_{26}, C_4) = 32$ ;  $R(W_n, C_4) = n + 7$  for  $34 \le n \le 36$ ;  $R(W_{43}, C_4) = 51$ .

The exact values of the Ramsey numbers  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  for  $n \le 6$  can be found in [7]. For the value of  $R(S_8, C_4)$ , we get  $R(S_8, C_4) \le 11$  by Theorem 1.1. Since the Petersen graph contains no  $C_4$  and its complement has no  $S_8$ , we get  $R(S_8, C_4) \ge 11$  and so we obtain that  $R(S_8, C_4) = 11$ . Using Theorem 1.2, we can get the exact values of  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  for n = 9, 10, 16, 17. By considering Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3, and these known values of  $R(S_n, C_4)$  and  $R(W_n, C_4)$  for small  $n \ge 6$ , we observe that there is an infinite number of values of n for which  $R(W_n, C_4) = R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$ . Motivated by this observation, a natural question is whether this equality holds in general. In this note, we give an affirmative answer to this question. Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.6.** 
$$R(W_n, C_4) = R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$$
 for  $n \ge 6$ .

We postpone our proof of this result to the next section.

By Theorem 1.6, we see that the two functions  $R(W_n, C_4)$  and  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  are in fact the same when  $n \geq 6$ . Because the Ramsey numbers  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  are well-studied, we can use Theorem 1.6 and known results on  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  to establish new results on  $R(W_n, C_4)$ . Of course, we can do that in reverse as well. Up to now, most known values of  $R(W_n, C_4)$  for small n are obtained with the help of computers. Because finding an  $S_{n+1}$  is much easier than finding a  $W_n$  in a graph using computers, we can focus our calculation on  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  by computers instead of  $R(W_n, C_4)$  if we want to determine some values of  $R(W_n, C_4)$  with the help of computers.

Combining Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.6, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 1.7.**  $R(W_n, C_4) \le n + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor + 2$  for  $n \ge 6$ , and if  $n = q^2 + 1$  and  $q \ge 3$ , then  $R(W_n, C_4) \le n + \lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor + 1$ . Furthermore, if  $q \ge 3$  is a prime power, then we have  $R(W_{q^2}, C_4) = q^2 + q + 1$  and  $R(W_{q^2+1}, C_4) = q^2 + q + 2$ .

Clearly, Theorem 1.7 is stronger than Theorem 1.3. Furthermore, by Theorems 1.1-1.7 and some other known results on  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$ , we can summarize several exact values (see Table 1) for  $R(W_n, C_4)$  and  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  when  $n \geq 6$  is small. Here the numbers marked with \* are obtained from the results in this paper, and the numbers marked with \* can be obtained by Theorem 1.7 avoiding computer search.

n	6	7-8	9-10	11-15	16-17	18-20	25	26	34-36	43
$R(W_n, C_4)$	9	n+4	$n+4^{\star}$	n+5	$n+5^{\star}$	n+5	31	32*	n+7	51
$R(S_{n+1},C_4)$	9	n+4	n+4	$n + 5^*$	n+5	$n + 5^*$	31	32	$n + 7^*$	51*

Table 1: Exact values of  $R(W_n, C_4)$  and  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  for  $6 \le n \le 43$ .

As for the lower bounds of  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$ , Burr et al. [1] showed that  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4) > n + \sqrt{n} - 6n^{11/40}$ . In the same paper, they proposed the following conjecture, for which Erdős, one of the authors, offered \$100 for a proof or disproof.

**Conjecture 1.** (Burr et al. [1]).  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4) < n + \sqrt{n} - c$  holds infinitely often, where c is an arbitrary constant.

After an easy calculation, we find that all exact values of  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4)$  listed in Table 1 satisfy  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4) \ge n + \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . Thus we finish this section by posing the following intriguing problem.

**Question.** Is it true that  $R(W_n, C_4) = R(S_{n+1}, C_4) \ge n + \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$  for all  $n \ge 6$ ?

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1.6

In order to prove Theorem 1.6, we need the following four lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** (Faudree and Schelp [3]).  $R(C_n, C_4) = n + 1$  for  $n \ge 6$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** (Tse [10]).  $R(W_6, C_4) = 9$ ,  $R(W_n, C_4) = n + 4$  for 7 < n < 10.

**Lemma 2.3.** (Faudree et al. [4]).  $R(S_7, C_4) = 9$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** (Zhang et al. [12]) Let C be a longest cycle in a graph G and  $u \in V(G) - V(C)$ . Then  $\alpha(G) \geq d_C(u) + 1$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** We first prove that  $R(S_{n+1},C_4) \geq n+4$  for  $n \geq 7$ . Let  $k = \lfloor (n+1)/4 \rfloor$  and  $C = x_1x_2...x_{4k}x_1$  be a cycle of length 4k. Set  $X_1 = \{x_1,x_2\}$ ,  $X_2 = \{x_3,x_4\}$ ,  $X_3 = \{x_i \mid i \equiv 1,2 \pmod{4}$  and  $i \geq 5\}$  and  $X_4 = \{x_i \mid i \equiv 0,3 \pmod{4}$  and  $i \geq 5\}$ . We now construct a graph F of order n+3 from C as follows:  $V(F) = V(C) \cup \{z_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ , where 4k+l=n+3. If  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then let  $N(z_1) = X_1 \cup X_3$  and  $N(z_2) = X_2 \cup X_4$ ; if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then let  $N(z_1) = X_1 \cup \{z_2\}$ ,  $N(z_2) = X_3 \cup \{z_1\}$  and  $N(z_3) = X_2 \cup X_4$ ; if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then let  $N(z_1) = X_1 \cup \{z_2\}$ ,  $N(z_2) = X_3 \cup \{z_1\}$ ,  $N(z_3) = X_2 \cup \{z_4\}$  and  $N(z_4) = X_4 \cup \{z_2\}$ ; if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then let  $N(z_1) = X_1 \cup \{z_2\}$ ,  $N(z_2) = X_3 \cup \{z_1\}$ ,  $N(z_2) = X_3 \cup \{z_1\}$ ,  $N(z_3) = X_2 \cup \{z_4\}$ ,  $N(z_4) = X_4 \cup \{z_2\}$  and  $N(z_5) = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ . It is easy to check that F has no  $C_4$  and  $\delta(F) \geq 3$ . Therefore,  $R(S_{n+1}, C_4) \geq n+4$  for  $n \geq 7$ .

Since  $S_{n+1}\subseteq W_n$ , we have  $R(W_n,C_4)\geq R(S_{n+1},C_4)$ . By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we see that  $R(W_6,C_4)=R(S_7,C_4)$  and  $R(W_n,C_4)=n+4$  for  $1\leq n\leq 10$ . Since  $R(S_{n+1},C_4)\geq n+4$  for  $1\leq n\leq 10$ . Now it remains to show that  $R(W_n,C_4)\leq R(S_{n+1},C_4)$  for  $1\leq n\leq 10$ . Now it remains to show that  $R(W_n,C_4)\leq R(S_{n+1},C_4)$  for  $1\leq n\leq 10$ . Suppose to the contrary that neither  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$ . Set  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$  for  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$ . Let  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$  be a graph of order  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$ . Set  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$  for  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$ . Suppose to the contrary that neither  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$  for  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$ . If  $1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1\leq 1$  for  $1\leq 1\leq 1$ 

$$|V(C) - N_C(u)| - |N_C(u) \cup Z| = |C| - d_C(u) - |Z| - d_C(u)$$
  
 
$$\geq (n-1) - 2 - (\lfloor \sqrt{n-1} \rfloor + 1) - 2 \geq 2.$$

Because every vertex of  $N_C(u) \cup Z$  has at least  $|V(C) - N_C(u)| - 1$  adjacent vertices in  $V(C) - N_C(u)$ , by the Pigeonhole Principle, there exists some vertex  $w \in V(C) - N_C(u)$  such that  $N_C(u) \cup Z \subseteq N(w)$ . Noting that w has at most one nonadjacent vertex in  $V(C) - N_C(u)$  and  $wv \in E(G)$ , we have

 $d(w) \ge |V(C) - N_C(u)| - 2 + |N_C(u) \cup Z| + 1 = |C| + |Z| - 1 = N - 3 \ge n + 1$ , which contradicts the fact that  $d(v) = \Delta(G) = n$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

# Acknowledgement

This research was supported by NSFC under grant numbers 11071115, 11371193 and 11101207.

#### References

- [1] S.A. Burr, P. Erdős, R.J. Faudree, C.C. Rousseau and R.H. Schelp, Some complete bipartite graph-tree Ramsey numbers, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* **41** (1989), 79–89.
- [2] J. Dybizbański and T. Dzido, On some Ramsey numbers for quadrilaterals versus wheels, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **30** (2014), 573–579.
- [3] R.J. Faudree and R.H. Schelp, All Ramsey numbers for cycles in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* **8** (1974), 313–329.
- [4] R.J. Faudree, C.C. Rousseau and R.H. Schelp, Small order graph-tree Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Mathematics* **72** (1988), 119–127.
- [5] O. Ore, Note on Hamilton circuits, American Mathematical Monthly 67 (1960), 55.
- [6] T.D. Parsons, Ramsey graphs and block designs I, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **209** (1975), 33–44.
- [7] S.P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* (2011), DS1.13.
- [8] I. Reiman, Uber ein Problem von K. Zarankiewicz, Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica 9 (1958), 269–279.
- [9] Surahmat, E.T. Baskoro, S. Uttunggadewa and H.J. Broersma, An upper bound for the Ramsey number of a cycle of length four versus wheels, *LNCS 3330*, Springer, Berlin (2005), 181–184.
- [10] K.K. Tse, On the Ramsey number of the quadrilateral versus the book and the wheel, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* **27** (2003), 163–167.
- [11] Y.L. Wu, Y.Q. Sun and S. Radziszowski, Wheel and star-critical Ramsey numbers for quadrilateral, http://www.cs.rit.edu/~spr/PUBL/wsr13.pdf
- [12] L.M. Zhang, Y.J. Chen and T.C. Edwin Cheng, The Ramsey numbers for cycles versus wheels of even order, *European Journal of Combinatorics* **31** (2010), 254–259.